

**1 DETAILS OF THE PERSON ORDERING THE REPORT**

Client: Brunel Management  
Address: Brunel Chambers, Devonshire Place, St.Helier, JE2 3RD

**2 REASON FOR PRODUCING THIS REPORT**

Reason for producing this report:  
Change of occupancy.  
Date(s) on which inspection and testing was carried out: 13/02/2023

**3 DETAILS OF THE INSTALLATION WHICH IS THE SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT**

Installation Address: 42 Clos du Ruisseau, La Grande Route de St Martin, St.Martin, JE3 6UU  
Estimated age of wiring system: 15 years Evidence of additions/alterations: Yes if yes, estimated age: 14 years  
Installation records available? (Regulation 651.1) Yes Date of last inspection: 20/12/2019

**4 EXTENT AND LIMITATIONS OF INSPECTION AND TESTING**

Extent of the electrical installation covered by this report:  
100% of the installation.  
Agreed limitations including the reasons (see Regulation 653.2):  
Unable to verify characteristics of primary supply overcurrent device.  
Unable to inspect cabling beneath floors, above ceilings or in walls.  
Inspection and testing of points only carried out where access is available.  
Insulation resistance testing between L - N only carried out where no damage to equipment may occur.  
Agreed with: Brunel Management  
Operational limitations including the reasons:  
NONE

The inspection and testing detailed in this report and accompanying schedules have been carried out in accordance with BS 7671:2018 (IET Wiring Regulations) as amended to 2020. It should be noted that cables concealed within trunking and conduits, under floors, in roof spaces, and generally within the fabric of the building or underground, have not been inspected unless specifically agreed between the client and inspector prior to the inspection. An inspection should be made within an accessible roof space housing other electrical equipment.

**5 SUMMARY OF THE CONDITION OF THE INSTALLATION**

See page 3 for a summary of the general condition of the installation in terms of electrical safety.  
**Overall assessment of the installation in terms of it's suitability for continued use\*:** SATISFACTORY  
**\* An unsatisfactory assessment indicates that dangerous (Code C1) and/or potentially dangerous (Code C2) conditions have been identified.**

**6 RECOMMENDATIONS**

Where the overall assessment of the suitability of the installation for continued use on page 1 is stated as 'UNSATISFACTORY', I/We recommend that any observations classified as 'Code 1 - Danger Present' or 'Code 2 - Potentially dangerous' are acted upon as a matter of urgency. Investigation without delay is recommended for observations identified as 'FI - Further Investigation Required'. Observations classified as 'Code 3 - Improvement recommended' should be given due consideration. Subject to the necessary remedial action being taken, I/we recommend that the installation is further inspected and tested by: 5 Years or change of tenant/owner  
Note: The proposed date for the next inspection should take into consideration the frequency and quality of maintenance that the installation can reasonably be expected to receive during its intended life. The period should be agreed between relevant parties.